



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/062,947	(	02/02/2002	William E. Bland	85447.000095	4621
23387	7590	12/17/2004	•	EXAMINER	
Stephen B.			GOODMAN, CHARLES		
Harter, Secre			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Rochester, 1	VY 1460	4-2711	3724		

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/062,947	BLAND ET AL.	$\bigcirc_{\mathcal{U}}$				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Charles Goodman	3724					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence ad	ldress				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STATED THIS COMMUNICATION IS ON THE MALE THE PROPERTY OF	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of th eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely  DNTHS from the mailing date of this co  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 10 September 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,4,5,8 and 12</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1,3,6,7,9-11,15 and 16</u> is/are reject	cted.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 September 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum		A 11 41 N					
•	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docum</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum</li></ul>			Ctooo				
	application from the International Bur	•	n received in this National	Stage				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a		t received.					
	Table	2 30 30 dopied 110						
•44	0							
Attachment	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>4.</b> □ 1_4 :	Summany (PTO 442)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB, No(s)/Mail Date	/08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO	)-152)				
. apoi		ن المالية عن المالية ع	•					

Application/Control Number: 10/062,947

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The Amendment filed on 9/20/2004 has been entered.

### Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 2, 4, 5, 8, and 12-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species I-XV, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 10.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/062,947

Art Unit: 3724

j

5. Claims 1, 3, 6, 7, 9-11, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freund et al (DE 199 29 272) in view of Schaller et al.

Freund et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a two-axis cutter. However, Schaller et al teaches a cutter system in which a two-axis cutter (13, 26) arranged orthogonal to each other is used to cut a cut larger sheet having photos thereon into individual photographic images including trim. See whole publication. Thus, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of the instant invention to provide the device of Freund et al with the two axis cutter as taught by Schaller et al in order to facilitate cutting of individual photos of desired dimensions.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 9/20/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the suggestion to combine stem from both references. To the extent that Applicant argues that Freund et al is "limited" to full width cuts of printed media, it is clear from the teachings of Schaller et al that a more diverse form of cuttings can be made for a given size of sheet material,

Application/Control Number: 10/062,947

Art Unit: 3724

thus expanding the versatility of the primary device teachings. It is noted that while Freund et al cuts "full widths", it is clear in this art that Freund et al inherently includes the capability of cutting sheets into different lengths. With the teachings of Schaller et al, Freund et al's device will be capable of cutting smaller products of a given sheet size. It is further noted that it is not clear how Freund et al does not include many of the elements argued by Applicant in paragraph 1 of the arguments section (e.g. p. 11). In Freund et al, the control means inherently controls all aspects of the image processing including digitized images. It is the Examiner's view that the only thing Freund et al appears to be missing is the two-axis cutter to which Schaller et al renders this feature obvious to the ordinary artisan.

#### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Art Unit: 3724

examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap, can be reached on (571) 272-4514. In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

cg December 13, 2004

Charles Goodman Primary Examiner AU 3724

HARLES GOOD:
PRIMARY EXAM!